On cyclability of digraphs

Samvel Kh. Darbinyan
Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems, Armenian National Academy of Sciences
E-mails: samdarbin@ipia.sci.am

arXiv:1602.05748v1 [math.CO] 18 Feb 2016

Abstract

Given a directed graph D of order $n \geq 4$ and a nonempty subset Y of vertices of D such that in D every vertex of Y reachable from every other vertex of Y. Assume that for every triple $x, y, z \in Y$ such that x and y are nonadjacent: If there is no arc from x to z, then $d(x)+d(y)+d^+(x)+d^-(z) \geq 3n-2$. If there is no arc from z to x, then $d(x)+d(y)+d^+(z)+d^-(x) \geq 3n-2$. We prove that there is a directed cycle in D which contains all the vertices of Y, except possibly one. This result is best possible in some sense and gives a answer to a question of H. Li, Flandrin and Shu (Discrete Mathematics, 307 (2007) 1291-1297).

Keywords: Digraphs, cycles, Hamiltonian cycles, cyclability.

1 Introduction

For convenience of the reader, terminology and notations will be given in details in section 2. A set S of vertices in a directed graph D (an undirected graph G) is said to be cyclable in D (in G) if D (if G) contains a directed cycle (undirected cycle) through all the vertices of S. There are many well-known conditions which guarantee the cyclability of a set of vertices in an undirected graph. Most of them can be seen as restrictions of Hamiltonian conditions to the considered set of vertices (See [5, 12, 16, 17, 19]). Let us cite for example the following:

Theorem A (*R. Shi [17]*). *Let G be a 2-connected undirected graph of order n. If S is a subset of the vertices of G and $d(x) \geq n/2$ for all vertices $x \in S$, then S is cyclable in G.*

Theorem B (*R. Shi [17]*). *Let G be a 2-connected undirected graph of order n. If S is a subset of the vertices of G and $d(x)+d(y) \geq n$ for any two nonadjacent vertices $x \in S$ and $y \in S$, then S is cyclable in G.*

Notice that Theorems A and B generalize the classical theorems on hamiltonicity of Dirac and Ore, respectively.

For general directed graphs (digraphs) there are not in literature as many conditions as for undirected graphs that guarantee the existence of a directed cycle with given properties (in particular, sufficient conditions for the existence of a Hamiltonian cycles in a digraphs). The more general and classical ones is the following theorem of M. Meyniel:

Theorem C (*M. Meyniel [14]*). *If D is a strongly connected digraph of order $n \geq 2$ and $d(x)+d(y) \geq 2n-1$ for all pairs of nonadjacent vertices x and y of D, then D is Hamiltonian.*

Notice that Meyniel's theorem is a common generalization of well-known classical theorems of Ghouila-Houri [11] and Woodall [21]. A beautiful short proof Meyniel's theorem can be found in [6] (see also [20], pp.399-400).

In [8] it was proved the following:

Theorem D (*S. Darbinyan [8]*). *Let D be a strongly connected digraph of order $n \geq 3$. If $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$ for any two nonadjacent vertices $x, y \in V(D) \setminus \{z_0\}$, where z_0 is some vertex of D, then D is Hamiltonian or contains a cycle of length $n - 1$.*

The following results are corollaries of Theorem D.

Corollary 1. *Let D be a strongly connected digraph of order $n \geq 3$. If D has $n - 1$ vertices of degree at least n, then D is Hamiltonian or contains a cycle of length $n - 1$.*

Corollary 2. *Let D be a strongly connected digraph of order $n \geq 3$, which satisfies the conditions of Theorem D. Then D has a cycle that contains all the vertices of D may be except z_0.*

Let D be a digraph of order $n \geq 3$. A Meyniel set M is a subset of $V(D)$ such that $d(x)+d(y) \geq 2n-1$ for every pair of distinct vertices x, y in M which are nonadjacent in D. A sufficient condition for cyclability in digraphs with the condition of Meyniel's theorem was given by K. A. Berman and X. Liu [4]. They improved Theorem D proving the following generalization of well-known theorem of Meyniel.

Theorem E (*K. Berman and X. Liu [4]*). *Let D be a strongly connected digraph of order n. Then every Meyniel set M of D lies in a directed cycle.*

Theorem E also generalizes the classical theorems of A. Ghouila-Houri [11] and D.R. Woodall [21].

In view of the next theorem we need the following definition. Let D be a directed graph and let S be a nonempty subset of vertices of D. Following [12], we say that a digraph D is S-strongly connected if for any pair x, y of distinct vertices of S there exists a path from x to y and a path from y to x in D.

Later H. Li, E. Flandrin and J. Shu [12] proved the following generalization of Theorem E.

Theorem F (*H. Li, E. Flandrin and J. Shu [12]*). *Let D be a digraph of order n and M be a Meyniel set in D. If D is M-strongly connected, then D contains a cycle through all the vertices of M.*

Let D be a digraph of order n. We say that a nonempty subset Y of the vertices of D satisfies condition A_0 if for every triple of the vertices x, y, z in Y such that x and y are nonadjacent: if there is no arc from x to z, then $d(x) + d(y) + d^+(x) + d^-(z) \geq 3n - 2$. If there is no arc from z to x, then $d(x) + d(y) + d^-(x) + d^+(z) \geq 3n - 2$.

Y. Manoussakis [13] proved a sufficient condition for hamiltonicity of digraphs that involves triples rather than pairs of vertices.

Theorem G (*Y. Manoussakis [13]*). *Let D be a strongly connected digraph D of order $n \geq 4$. If $V(D)$ satisfies condition A_0, then D is Hamiltonian.*

H. Li, Flandrin and Shu [12] (see also B. Ning [15]) was put a question to know if this theorem of Manoussakis (or the sufficient conditions of hamiltonicity of digraphs of Bang-Jensen, Gutin and Li [2] or of Bang-Jensen, Guo and Yeo [3]) has a cyclable version.

In this paper we prove the following theorem which gives some answer for the above question when

a subset $Y \neq \emptyset$ of the vertices of a digraph D satisfies condition A_0 and the digraph D is Y-strongly connected.

Theorem. *Let D be a digraph of order $n \geq 4$ and let Y be a nonempty subset of the vertices of D. Suppose that D is Y-strongly connected and the subset Y satisfies condition A_0. Then D contains a cycle through all the vertices of Y may be except one.*

Remark 1. The following example shows that there is a digraph D which contains a nonempty subset Y of $V(D)$ such that D is Y-strongly connected and the subset Y satisfies condition A_0 but D has no cycle that contains all the vertices of Y.

To see this, let G and H be two arbitrary disjoint digraphs with $|V(G)| = m \geq 2$ and $|V(H)| = n - m \geq 4$. Let $y \in V(H)$ and $x, z \in V(G)$, $x \neq z$. Assume that $d(y, H) = 2(n - m - 1)$, G contains a Hamiltonian cycle, $d^+(x, G) = m - 1$ and $d(z, G) = 2(m - 1)$. From G and H we form a new digraph D with $V(D) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ as follows: add the all possible arcs ux, xu, where $u \in V(H) \setminus \{y\}$, and the arc yx. An easy computation shows that

$$d(y) + d(z) + d^-(y) + d^+(x) = 4n - m - 6 \geq 3n - 2,$$

since $m \leq n-4$. Thus we have that the set $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ satisfies condition A_0, D is Y-strongly connected and has no cycle that contains all the vertices of Y.

Our proofs are based on the arguments of [12, 13].

2 Terminology and Notations

We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the standard terminology on the directed graphs (digraph) and refer the reader to [1] for terminology not discussed here. In this paper we consider finite digraphs without loops and multiple arcs. For a digraph D, we denote by $V(D)$ the vertex set of D and by $A(D)$ the set of arcs in D. The order of D is the number of its vertices. The arc of a digraph D directed from x to y is denoted by xy or $x \to y$. If x, y, z are distinct vertices in D, then $x \to y \to z$ denotes that xy and $yz \in A(D)$. Two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if $xy \in A(D)$ or $yx \in A(D)$ (or both). If $x \in V(D)$ and $A = \{x\}$ we write x instead of $\{x\}$. The out-neighborhood of a vertex x is the set $N^+(x) = \{y \in V(D)/xy \in A(D)\}$ and $N^-(x) = \{y \in V(D)/yx \in A(D)\}$ is the in-neighborhood of x. Similarly, if $A \subseteq V(D)$, then $N^+(x, A) = \{y \in A/xy \in A(D)\}$ and $N^-(x, A) = \{y \in A/yx \in A(D)\}$. The out-degree of x is $d^+(x) = |N^+(x)|$ and $d^-(x) = |N^-(x)|$ is the in-degree of x. Similarly, $d^+(x, A) = |N^+(x, A)|$ and $d^-(x, A) = |N^-(x, A)|$. The degree of the vertex x in D is defined as $d(x) = d^+(x) + d^-(x)$ (similarly, $d(x, A) = d^+(x, A) + d^-(x, A)$). The path (respectively, the cycle) consisting of the distinct vertices $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$ ($m \geq 2$) and the arcs $x_i x_{i+1}$, $i \in [1, m-1]$ (respectively, $x_i x_{i+1}$, $i \in [1, m-1]$, and $x_m x_1$), is denoted by $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m$ (respectively, $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m x_1$). The length of a cycle or path is the number of its arcs. We say that $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m$ is a path from x_1 to x_m or is an (x_1, x_m)-path. An (x, y)-path P is an (X, Y)-path if $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $V(P) \cap (X \cup Y) = \{x, y\}$, where X and Y are subset of the vertices of a digraph D.

Given a vertex x of a directed path P or of a directed cycle C, we use the notations x^+ and x^- for the successor and the predecessor of x (on P or on C) according to the orientation, and in case of ambiguity, we precise P or C a subscript (that is x_P^+ ...).

A cycle (respectively, a path) that contains all the vertices of D is a Hamiltonian cycle (respectively, is a hamiltonian path). A digraph is Hamiltonian if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle. For a cycle $C :=$

$x_1x_2\cdots x_kx_1$ of length k, the subscripts considered modulo k, i.e., $x_i = x_s$ for every s and i such that $i \equiv s \pmod k$. If P is a path containing a subpath from x to y we let $P[x,y]$ denote that subpath. Similarly, if C is a cycle containing vertices x and y, $C[x,y]$ denotes the subpath of C from x to y. If C is a cycle and P be a path in a digraph D, often we will write C instead of $V(C)$ and P instead of $V(P)$. A digraph D is strongly connected (or, just, strong) if there exists a path from x to y and a path from y to x for every pair of distinct vertices x, y.

Let C be a non-Hamiltonian cycle in a digraph D. For the cycle C, a C-bypass is a path of length at least two with both end-vertices on C and no other vertices on C. If (x,y)-path P is a C-bypass with $V(P) \cap V(C) = \{x, y\}$, then we call the length of the path $C[x,y]$ the gap of P with respect to C.

If we consider a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V(D)$, we denote the vertices of S by S-vertices and the number of S-vertices in a cycle is called its S-length.

The subdigraph of a digraph D induced by a subset A of $V(D)$ is denoted by $D\langle A\rangle$, or $\langle A\rangle$ for brevity.

For an undirected graph G, we denote by G^* symmetric digraph obtained from G by replacing every edge xy with the pair xy, yx of arcs. We denote the complete undirected graph on n vertices (respectively, undirected complete bipartite graph, with partite sets of cardinalities n and m) by K_n (respectively, by $K_{n,m}$), and $\overline{K}_n$ denotes the complement of K_n. If G_1 and G_2 are undirected graphs, then $G_1 \cup G_2$ is the disjoint union of G_1 and G_2. The join of G_1 and G_2, denoted by $G_1 + G_2$, is the union of $G_1 \cup G_2$ and of all the edges between G_1 and G_2. The converse digraph $\overleftarrow{D}$ of a digraph D is the digraph obtained from D by reversing all arcs of D.

For integers a and b, $a \leq b$, let $[a,b]$ denote the set of all integers which are not less than a and are not greater than b.

3 Preliminaries

We now collect the tools which we need in proof of our theorem. In the following, we often use the following definition:

Definition. *Let $P = x_1x_2\ldots x_m$ ($m \geq 2$) be a path in a digraph D and let $Q = y_1y_2\ldots y_k$ be a path in $\langle V(D)\setminus V(P)\rangle$ (possibly, $k = 1$). Assume that there is an $i \in [1, m-1]$ such that x_iy_1 and $y_kx_{i+1} \in A(D)$. In this case D contains a path $x_1x_2\ldots x_iy_1y_2\ldots y_kx_{i+1}\ldots x_m$ and we say that Q can be inserted into P.*

The following Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 are slight modifications of lemma by Häggkvist and Thomassen [10] and of lemma by Bondy and Thomassen [6], respectively (their proofs are not too difficult). They will be used extensively in the proof of our result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $C_k := x_1x_2\ldots x_kx_1$, $k \geq 2$, be a non-Hamiltonian cycle in a digraph D. Moreover, assume that there exists a path $Q := y_1y_2\ldots y_r$, $r \geq 1$, in $\langle V(D) \setminus V(C_k)\rangle$. If $d^-(y_1, C_k) + d^+(y_r, C_k) \geq k+1$, then for all $m \in [r+1, k+r]$ the digraph D contains a cycle C_m of length m with vertex set $V(C_m) \subseteq V(C_k) \cup V(Q)$.* □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $P := x_1x_2\ldots x_k$, $k \geq 2$, be a non-Hamiltonian path in a digraph D. Moreover, assume that there exists a path $Q := y_1y_2\ldots y_r$, $r \geq 1$, in $\langle V(D) \setminus V(P)\rangle$. If*

$$d^-(y_1, P) + d^+(y_r, P) \geq k + d^-(y_1, \{x_k\}) + d^+(y_r, \{x_1\}),$$

then there is an $i \in [1, k-1]$ such that x_iy_1 and $y_rx_{i+1} \in A(D)$, i.e., D contains a path from x_1 to x_k with vertex set $V(P) \cup V(Q)$, i.e., Q can be inserted into P. □

The following lemma from [12] is a slight modification of Multi-Insertion Lemma due to Bang-Jensen, Gutin and H. Li (see [1], Lemma 5.6.20).

Lemma 3.3 (*H. Li, E. Flandrin. J. Shu [12]*). *Let D be a digraph and let P be an (a,b)-path in D. Let Q be a path in $\langle V(D) \setminus V(P)\rangle$ and let S be a subset of $V(Q)$. If every vertex of S can be inserted into P, then there exists an (a,b)-path R such that $V(P) \cup S \subseteq V(R) \subseteq V(P) \cup V(Q)$.* □

The following lemma also was proved in [12].

Lemma 3.4 (*H. Li, E. Flandrin. J. Shu [12]*). *Let D be a digraph of order n and $S \subset V(D)$, $S \neq \emptyset$. Assume that D is S-strongly connected and satisfies for any pair of nonadjacent vertices x, y in S the degree condition $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$. If C is a cycle in D of maximum S-length and s is an S-vertex of $V(D) \setminus V(C)$, then D contains a C-bypass through s.* □

By inspection of the proof in [12] one can state Lemma 3.4 in the following form (its proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 3.4).

Lemma 3.5. *Let D be a digraph of order n and let C be a non-Hamiltonian cycle in D. Let x be an arbitrary vertex not on C. Assume that in D there are (C,x)- and (x,C)-paths and D contains no C-bypass through x. Then the following holds:*

(i). If x is adjacent to some vertex y of C, then D is not 2-strong, $d(x, V(C) \setminus \{y\}) = 0$ and $d(x) + d(z) \leq 2n - 2$ for all the vertices $z \in V(C) \setminus \{y\}$.

(ii). Assume that x and any vertex of C are nonadjacent, i.e., $d(x, V(C)) = 0$. Let P be a shortest (C,x)-path with $\{u\} = V(P) \cap V(C)$ and let Q be a shortest (x,C)-path with $\{v\} = V(Q) \cap V(C)$. Then the following holds:

If $u \neq v$, then $d(x) + d(z) \leq 2n - 2$ for all the vertices $z \in V(C)$, may be except one from $\{u, v\}$.

If $u = v$, then $d(x) + d(z) \leq 2n - 2$ for all the vertices $z \in V(C) \setminus \{u\}$. □

In [13] it was proved the following

Lemma 3.6 (*Y. Manoussakis [13]*). *Let D be a digraph of order n and let $V(D)$ satisfies condition A_0. Assume that there are two distinct pairs of nonadjacent vertices x, y and x, z in D. Then either $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$ or $d(x) + d(z) \geq 2n - 1$.* □

It is not difficult to show that one can Lemma 3.6 state the following much stronger form:

Lemma 3.7. *Let Y be a subset of vertices in a digraph D of order n and let Y satisfies condition A_0. Assume that there are two distinct pairs of nonadjacent vertices x, y and x, z in Y. Then either $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$ or $d(x) + d(z) \geq 2n - 1$.* □

For the proof of our results we also need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let D be a digraph of order n. Assume that $xy \notin A(D)$ and the vertices x, y in D satisfies the degree condition $d^+(x) + d^-(y) \geq n - 2 + k$, where $k \geq 1$. Then D contains at least k internally disjoint (x,y)-paths of length two.* □

The following lemma was also proved in [12].

Lemma 3.9 (*H. Li, E. Flandrin. J. Shu [12]*). *Let D be a digraph of order n and $S \subseteq V(D)$, $S \neq \emptyset$. Assume that D is S-strongly connected and satisfies for any pair of nonadjacent vertices x, y in S the degree condition $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$. Then any two S-vertices s and s' are contained in a cycle of D such that they are at distance at most two on this cycle.* □

One can Lemma 3.9 state the following form.

Lemma 3.10 . *Let D be a digraph of order n. Assume that a pair of distinct vertices x, y in D satisfies the degree condition $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$. If D is $\{x, y\}$-strongly connected, then the vertices x and y are contained in a cycle of D such that they are at distance at most two on this cycle.* □

Now we will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11. *Let D be a digraph of order n and let Y be a subset of vertices of D with $|Y| \geq 4$. Assume that D is Y-strongly connected and the subset Y satisfies condition A_0. If C is a non-Hamiltonian cycle in D which contains at least two Y-vertices, then for every Y-vertex y of $V(D) \setminus V(C)$ there is a C-bypass through y.*

Proof of Lemma 3.11. If the cycle C contains at least three Y-vertices, then the lemma immediately follows from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.7. Assume therefore that C contains exactly two Y-vertices, say x and u, and there exists a Y-vertex, say y, in $B := V(D) \setminus V(C)$ such that in D there is no C-bypass through y. From $|Y| \geq 4$ it follows that B contains at least two Y-vertices. Let z be an arbitrary Y-vertex of B other than y.

We will consider two cases.

Case 1. $d(y, C) \geq 1$.

Without loss of generality, assume that the vertex y is adjacent to a vertex w of $V(C)$. If $w \notin \{u, x\}$, then from Lemma 3.5(i) it follows that y, u and y, x are distinct pairs of nonadjacent vertices of Y, $d(y) + d(u) \leq 2n - 2$ and $d(y) + d(x) \leq 2n - 2$, which contradicts Lemma 3.7. Assume therefore that $w \in \{x, u\}$, for example, let $w = u$ and $yu \in A(D)$. Since we assumed that D has no C-bypass through y, by Lemma 3.5(i) we have

$$d(y) + d(x) \leq 2n - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, V(C) \setminus \{u\}) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Now we distinguish two subcases.

Subcase 1.1. $xz \in A(D)$.

Then $zy \notin A(D)$ (for otherwise $xzyu$ is a C-bypass through y, which contradicts the our assumption that D has no C-bypass through y). Therefore, the triple of Y-vertices x, y, z satisfies condition A_0, i.e.,

$$d(y) + d(x) + d^-(y) + d^+(z) \geq 3n - 2.$$

This together with $d(y) + d(x) \leq 2n - 2$ (by (1)) implies that $d^+(z) + d^-(y) \geq n$. Hence, by Lemma 3.8, $z \to v \to y$ for some vertex v other than u. From $d(y, V(C) \setminus \{u\}) = 0$ (by (1)) it follows that $v \in B$. Thus, $xzvyu$ is a C-bypass through y, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. $xz \notin A(D)$.

Then by condition A_0 we have

$$d(y) + d(x) + d^+(x) + d^-(z) \geq 3n - 2.$$

Therfore, by (1), $d^+(x) + d^-(z) \geq n$, and hence by Lemma 3.8 and $xy \notin A(D)$, there exists a vertex v other that u and y such that $x \to v \to z$. It is easy to see that $vy \notin A(D)$. Again we have, $zy \notin A(D)$ and $d^+(z) + d^-(y) \geq n$. Hence, by Lemma 3.8, $z \to a \to y$ for some vertex a other than u. It is not difficult to see that $a \in B \setminus \{y, z, v\}$. Consequently, $vzayu$ or $xvzayu$ is a C-bypass through y when $v \in C$

or not, respectively, a contradiction. The discussion of Case 1 is completed.

Case 2. $d(y, C) = 0$.

By Lemma 3.5(ii), we have either $d(y) + d(x) \leq 2n - 2$ or $d(y) + d(u) \leq 2n - 2$. Without loss of generality, assume that

$$d(y) + d(x) \leq 2n - 2. \tag{2}$$

This together with condition A_0 implies that

$$d^+(y) + d^-(u) \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad d^+(u) + d^-(y) \geq n. \tag{3}$$

This together with Lemma 3.8 implies that there are vertices a and v (possibly, $a = v$) other than z such that $u \to v \to y$ and $y \to a \to u$. Observe that v and a are not on C since $d(y, C) = 0$.

Assume first that there is a vertex $w \in V(C) \setminus \{u\}$ which is adjacent to z. Without loss of generality, assume that $zw \in A(D)$ (for the case $wz \in A(D)$ we will consider the converse digraph of D). If $yz \in A(D)$, then $uvyzw$ is a C-bypass through y, a contradiction. Assume therefore that $yz \notin A(D)$. Then from (2) and condition A_0 it follows that $d^+(y) + d^-(z) \geq n$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.8, for some vertex $b \in B \setminus \{v\}$, $y \to b \to z$, and hence, $uvybzw$ is a C-bypass through y, which is a contradiction.

Assume second that $d(z, V(C) \setminus \{u\}) = 0$. In particular, the vertices z and x are nonadjacent. From (2) and condition A_0 it follows that

$$d^+(x) + d^-(z) \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad d^+(z) + d^-(x) \geq n.$$

From $d^+(z) + d^-(x) \geq n$ and Lemma 3.8 it follows that there are at least two (z, x)-paths of length two.

Subcase 2.1. There is a (z, x)-paths of length two, say $z \to b \to x$, such that $b \notin \{u, v\}$.

Then $yz \notin A(D)$ and $yb \notin A(D)$ (for otherwise, $uvyzbx$ or $uvybx$ is a C-bypass through y, when $yz \in A(D)$ and $yb \in A(D)$, respectively). Since x, y, z are Y-vertices, from condition A_0 and (2) it follows that $d^+(y) + d^-(z) \geq n$. Now using Lemma 3.8 and the facts that $yz \notin A(D)$ and $yb \notin A(D)$, we obtain that there exists a vertex $q \in B \setminus \{v, b, z\}$ such that $y \to q \to z$. Thus, $uvyqzbx$ is a C-bypass through y, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. There is no $w \in B \setminus \{v\}$ such that $z \to w \to x$.

Then from Lemma 3.8 and $d^+(z) + d^-(x) \geq n$ it follows that $d^+(z) + d^-(x) = n$ and $zv, vx, zu \in A(D)$ (i.e., there are exactly two (z, x)-paths of length two). Now using the inequality $d^+(u) + d^-(y) \geq n$ (by (3)) and Lemma 3.8 we conclude that there exist at least two (u, y)-paths of length two. If there is a path $u \to c \to y$ such that c is other than v and z, then we may consider the paths $u \to c \to y$ and $z \to v \to x$. For these paths we have the above considered case ($b \notin \{u, v\}$). Assume therefore that there is no $c \in B \setminus \{v, z\}$ such that $u \to c \to y$. Again using Lemma 3.8, it is easy to see that $u \to z \to y$. From this and Lemma 3.8 it follows that $d^+(u) + d^-(y) = n$ since $d^+(u) + d^-(y) \geq n$ (by (3)). Now by condition A_0 and (2) we have

$$d(y) + d(x) = 2n - 2.$$

If $yv \in A(D)$, then $uzyvx$ is a C-bypass through y, a contradiction. Assume therefore that $yv \notin A(D)$. This together with $d^+(y) + d^-(u) \geq n$ (by (3)) implies that there is a vertex $u_1 \in B \setminus \{z, v\}$ such that $y \to u_1 \to u$. From this it is easy to see that $xv \notin A(D)$ (for otherwise $xvyu_1u$ is a C-bypass through y). This together with $d^+(x, \{y, z, v\}) = 0$ and $d^+(x) + d^-(z) \geq n$ implies that $x \to u_1 \to z$ (for otherwise there is a vertex $r \in B \setminus \{v, y, z, u_1\}$ such that $x \to r \to z$ and hence, $xrzyu_1u$ is a C-bypass through y, a contradiction).

If $d^+(x) + d^-(y) \geq n$, then there is a vertex $u_2 \in B \setminus \{v, z, u_1\}$ such that $x \to u_2 \to y$ since $d^+(x, \{v, y, z\}) = 0$ and $uy \notin A(D)$. Therefore, xu_2yu_1u is a C-bypass through y. Assume therefore that

$d^+(x) + d^-(y) \leq n-1$. Then from $d(x)+d(y) = 2n-2$ it follows that $d^+(y) + d^-(x) \geq n-1$. Now using Lemma 3.8 and the facts that $d^+(y, V(C) \cup \{v\}) = 0$ and $zx \notin A(D)$ we obtain that $y \to w \to x$ for some $w \in B \setminus \{v, z\}$. Therefore $uvywx$ is a C-bypass through y, which is a contradiction. Lemma 3.11 is proved. □

4 Proof of the main result

For readers convenience, again we will formulate the main result.

Theorem. *Let D be a digraph of order n and let Y be a nonempty subset of the vertices of D, where $|Y| \geq 2$. Suppose that D is Y-strongly connected and the subset Y satisfies condition A_0. Then D contains a cycle through all the vertices of Y may be except one.*

Proof of the theorem . Suppose, on the contrary, that is a digraph D and a nonempty subset Y of the vertices of D satisfy the supposition of the theorem but any cycle in D does not contain at least two Y-vertices. By Manoussakis' theorem, we may assume that $Y \neq V(D)$. Since D is Y-strongly connected, using Lemmas 3.7 and 3.10 we obtain that $|Y| \geq 4$ and in D there exists a cycle which contains at least two Y-vertices. From Lemma 3.11 it follows that if C is a non-Hamiltonian cycle in D, then D has a C-bypass through every Y-vertex of $V(D) \setminus V(C)$. In D we choose a cycle C and a C-bypass P_0 through a Y-vertex of $V(D) \setminus V(C)$ such that

(a) C contains as many vertices of Y as possible (C contains at least two Y-vertices),

(b) the gap of C-bypass P_0 is minimum, subject to (a) (by Lemma 3.11, for the cycle C there exists C-bypass through any Y-vertex not on C), and

(c) the length of C-bypass P_0 is minimum, subject to (a) and (b).

In the sequel we assume that the cycle $C := x_1x_2 \dots x_mx_1$ and the C-bypass $P_0 := x_1z_1 \dots z_kyz_{k+1} \dots z_tx_{a+1}$ satisfy the conditions (a)-(c), where $1 \leq a \leq m-1$ and $y \in V(D) \setminus V(C)$ is a Y-vertex (possibly, $z_1 = y$ or $y = z_t$). Since the cycle C has the maximum Y-length, it follows that $C[x_2, x_a]$ contains a Y-vertex. In particular, $a \geq 2$. Note that the gap of C-bypass P_0 is equal to a.

Denote $P := z_1 \dots z_kyz_{k+1} \dots z_t$, $E := P[z_1, z_k]$ and $L := P[z_{k+1}, z_t]$. Since the gap a is minimal, the vertex y is not adjacent with any vertex of $C[x_2, x_a]$, i.e, $d(y, C[x_2, x_a]) = 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2,

$$d(y, C) = d(y, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) \leq m - a + d^-(y, \{x_1\}) + d^+(y, \{x_{a+1}\}), \tag{4}$$

since any Y-vertex of $B := V(D) \setminus V(C)$ cannot be inserted into C. From the minimality of the path P it follows that

$$d(y, V(P)) \leq |V(P)| + 1 - d^-(y, \{x_1\}) - d^+(y, \{x_{a+1}\}). \tag{5}$$

Notice that $|C[x_2, x_a]| = a-1$ and $|C[x_{a+1}, x_1]| = m-a+1$. First for the cycle C and C-bypass $P_0 := x_1z_1 \dots z_kyz_{k+1} \dots z_tx_{a+1}$ it is convenient to prove the following two claims below.

Claim 1. *There is a Y-vertex, say y_1, in $C[x_2, x_a]$ such that y, y_1 are nonadjacent, $d(y) + d(y_1) \leq 2n-2$ and y_1 cannot be inserted into $C[x_{a+1}, x_1]$. Moreover,*

(i). The path P contains exactly one Y-vertex, namely only y.

(ii). Any Y-vertex of $C[x_2, x_a]$ other than y_1 can be inserted into $C[x_{a+1}, x_1]$.

(iii). There are three (x_{a+1}, x_1)-paths, say P_1, P_2 and P_3, with vertex set $C[x_{a+1}, x_1] \cup F_1$, $C[x_{a+1}, x_1] \cup F_2$ and $C[x_{a+1}, x_1] \cup F_3$, respectively, where $F_1 \subseteq C[x_2, x_a]$, $F_2 \subseteq C[x_2, y_1^-]$, $F_3 \subseteq C[y_1^+, x_a]$ (if $y_1 = x_2$, then $C[x_2, y_1^-] = \emptyset$, if $y_1 = x_a$, then $C[y_1^+, x_a] = \emptyset$) and F_1 (respectively, F_2, F_3) contains all the Y-vertices of $C[x_2, x_a] \setminus \{y_1\}$ (respectively, all the Y-vertices of $C[x_2, y_1^-]$, all the Y-vertices of $C[y_1^+, x_a]$).

Proof of Claim 1. Since we assumed that the cycle C has maximum Y-length, from Lemma 3.3 it follows that some Y-vertex, say y_1, of $C[x_2, x_a]$ cannot be inserted into $C[x_{a+1}, x_1]$. Hence, using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$d(y_1, C) = d(y_1, C[x_2, x_a]) + d(y_1, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) \leq 2a - 4 + m - a + 2 = m + a - 2. \tag{6}$$

From the minimality of $C[x_2, x_a]$ it follows that the vertices y and y_1 are nonadjacent.

Put $R := V(D) \setminus (V(C) \cup V(P))$. Now we want to compute the sum of degree y and y_1. By minimality of $C[x_2, x_a]$ we have

$$d(y_1, R) + d(y, R) \leq 2|R| \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, C[x_2, x_a]) = 0. \tag{7}$$

From the minimality of $C[x_2, x_a]$ also it follows that

$$d^+(y_1, \{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}) = d^-(y_1, \{z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_t\}) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Therefore, $d(y_1, P) \leq |P| - 1$ since y and y_1 are nonadjacent. This together with the above inequalities (4)-(7) gives

$$d(y) + d(y_1) = d(y, R) + d(y_1, R) + d(y, P) + d(y, C) + d(y_1, P) + d(y_1, C) \leq$$

$$2|R| + 2|P| + 2m - 2 = 2n - 2.$$

Thus, $d(y) + d(y_1) \leq 2n - 2$ for any Y-vertex y of P and for any Y-vertex y_1 of $C[x_2, x_a]$ which cannot be inserted into $C[x_{a+1}, x_1]$. This together with Lemma 3.7 implies that P contains only one Y-vertex, namely y, and any Y-vertex of $C[x_2, x_a]$ different from y_1 can be inserted into $C[x_{a+1}, x_1]$. From this and Lemma 3.3 immediately follows the third assertion of the claim. Claim 1 is proved. □

Claim 2. *Let y_1 be a Y-vertex of $C[x_2, x_a]$ which cannot be inserted into $C[x_{a+1}, x_1]$. Then $d(y_1, P) = 0$.*
Proof of Claim 2. Suppose, on the contrary, that $d(y_1, P) \geq 1$. Then from (8) it follows that either $z_i y_1 \in A(D)$ or $y_1 z_j \in A(D)$, for some $i \in [1, k]$ or $j \in [k+1, t]$, respectively. Let $y_1 z_j \in A(D)$. We consider the cycle $C_1 := P_3 C[x_1, y_1] P[z_j, z_t] x_{a+1}$. This cycle contains all the Y-vertices of C, and hence has maximum Y-length. It is easy to see that $x_1 z_1 \ldots z_k y z_{k+1} \ldots z_j$ is a C_1-bypass through y. By choice of the cycle C and C-bypass P_0 we have that $y_1 = x_a$, i.e., the C-gap of P_0 and C_1-gap of Q are equal but the path $z_1 \ldots z_k y z_{k+1} \ldots z_{j-1}$ is short than the path $z_1 \ldots z_k y z_{k+1} \ldots z_t$, which contradicts (c). Therefore, $y_1 z_j \notin A(D)$ for all $j \in [k+1, t]$. By similar arguments, one can show that $z_i y_1 \notin A(D)$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Thus,

$$d^-(y_1, \{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}) = d^+(y_1, \{z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_t\}) = 0$$

which together with (8) implies that $d(y_1, P) = 0$. Claim 2 is proved. □

Let x be an arbitrary Y-vertex in $B = V(D) \setminus V(C)$ other than y. Claim 1 implies that x is not on P. We distinguish two cases according as in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\}) \rangle$ there exists a path with end-vertices x and y or not.

Case 1. In $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\}) \rangle$ there exists a path from x to y or there exists a path from y to x.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\}) \rangle$ there is an (x, y)-path (for otherwise, we consider the converse digraph of D).

Let H be a shortest (x, y)-path in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\}) \rangle$. Observe that $x_1 x \notin A(D)$, since otherwise, if $x_1 x \in A(D)$, then the path P_1 (Claim 1(iii)) together with the arc $x_1 x$ and the paths H and $P_0[y, x_{a+1}]$ forms a cycle, say C_1, which contains more Y-vertices than C, which contradicts to our assumption that C has maximum Y-length (C_1 contains all Y-vertices of C, except y_1, and Y-vertices x and y). Put $R := B \setminus (V(P) \cup V(H))$ and $H' := H[x_H^+, y_H^-]$ (if $x_H^+ = y$, then $H' = \emptyset$).

By Claim 1, we have

$$d(y_1) + d(y) \leq 2n - 2. \tag{9}$$

From the minimality of the gap a (or of the existence of the path P_3) it follows that $y_1x \notin A(D)$ (therefore either $xy_1 \in A(D)$ or x and y_1 are nonadjacent) and

$$d^+(y_1, R) + d^-(x, R) \leq |R|. \tag{10}$$

Subcase 1.1. $xy_1 \in A(D)$.

From Lemma 3.2 it follows that

$$d^-(x, P_1) + d^+(y_1, P_1) \leq |P_1|. \tag{11}$$

since $x_1x \notin A(D)$ and the arc xy_1 cannot be inserted into P_1 (for otherwise, D contains a cycle which contains all Y-vertices of C and Y-vertices x, y, which is a contradiction). From the minimality of the gap a, the existence of the paths P_2, P_3 (by Claim 1) and Claim 2 it follows that

$$d^+(y_1, P \cup H) = d^-(x, C[x_2, x_a]) = d^-(x, P) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Clearly,

$$d^+(y_1, Q) \leq |Q| - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d^-(x, H') \leq |H'|, \tag{13}$$

where $Q := C[x_2, x_a] - P_1$. By adding the above relations (10)-(13), we obtain

$$d^+(y_1) + d^-(x) = d^+(y_1, R) + d^-(x, R) + d^-(x, P_1) + d^+(y_1, P_1) + d^+(y_1, Q) + d^+(y_1, P \cup H) +$$

$$d^-(x, Q) + d^-(x, H') + d^-(x, P) \leq |R| + |P_1| + |Q| + |H'| - 1 \leq n - 2.$$

This together with (9) gives

$$d(y) + d(y_1) + d^-(x) + d^+(y_1) \leq 3n - 4,$$

which contradicts condition A_0, since y, y_1 and x are Y-vertices, y, y_1 are nonadjacent and $y_1x \notin A(D)$.

Subcase 1.2. The vertices x and y_1 are nonadjacent.

We will distinguish two subcases, according as there exists a (y, x)-path in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ or not.

Subcase 1.2.1. In $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ there is no (y, x)-path, in particular $yx \notin A(D)$.

Then, clearly

$$d^+(y, R \cup H') + d^-(x, R \cup H') \leq |R \cup H'| = |R| + |H'|. \tag{14}$$

It is not difficult to see that the path H cannot be inserted into C. Hence, from Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$d^-(x, C) + d^+(y, C) \leq |C|. \tag{15}$$

Moreover,

$$d^-(x, P) = d^-(x, E) + d^-(x, L) \leq |L|, \quad \text{since} \quad d^-(x, E) = 0,$$

recall that $E := P[z_1, z_k]$ and $L := P[z_{k+1}, z_t]$, and by minimality of P,

$$d^+(y, P) = d^+(y, E) + d^+(y, L) \leq |E| + 1, \quad \text{since} \quad d^+(y, L) \leq 1.$$

Hence

$$d^-(x, P) + d^+(y, P) \leq |L| + |E| + 1 = |P|.$$

The last inequality together with (14), (15) and (9) implies that

$$d(y) + d(y_1) + d^-(x) + d^+(y) \leq 2n - 2 + |R| + |H'| + |C| + |P| = 3n - 3,$$

which contradicts condition A_0, since y, y_1 and x are Y-vertices, y, y_1 are nonadjacent and $yx \notin A(D)$.

Subcase 1.2.2. In $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ there is a (y,x)-path.

Assume first that in $\langle B \setminus (P \cup H \setminus \{x,y\})\rangle$ there is a (y,x)-path . Let Q be a shortest (y,x)-path in $\langle B \setminus (P \cup H \setminus \{x,y\})\rangle$.

Let now $R := B \setminus (P \cup H \cup Q)$. We want to compute the degree sum of the vertices x and y_1. From the minimality of the $C[x_2, x_a]$ and the existence of the paths H and Q it follows that

$$d(x,R) + d(y_1,R) \leq 2|R| \quad \text{and} \quad d(y_1, H' \cup Q') = 0, \tag{16}$$

where $Q' := Q[y_Q^+, x_Q^-]$ (here if $y_Q^+ = x$, then $Q' = \emptyset$). By Claim 2, $d(y_1, P) = 0$. This together with (6) implies that

$$d(y_1, C \cup P) \leq m + a - 2. \tag{17}$$

Now we consider the vertex x. It is not difficult to see that x cannot be inserted into P (for otherwise there exists an (z_1, z_t)-path with vertex set $V(P) \cup \{x\}$ which together with the arcs x_1z_1, z_tx_{a+1} and the path P_1 (Claim 1) forms a cycle which contains all the Y-vertices of C except y_1 and Y-vertices x and y, this contradicts the assumption that C has the maximum Y-length). Therefore, by Lemma 3.2,

$$d(x,P) \leq |P| + 1. \tag{18}$$

From the minimality of the paths H and Q it follows that

$$d(x,Q') \leq |Q'| + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d(x,H') \leq |H'| + 1. \tag{19}$$

Since the gap a is minimal, we obtain that $d(x, C[x_2, x_a]) = 0$. Using the path P_1 (Claim 1), it is not difficult to see that $x_1x \notin A(D)$ and $xx_{a+1} \notin A(D)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, $d(x,C) = d(x, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) \leq m-a$, since x cannot be inserted into C. Summing the above inequalities (16)-(19) and the last inequality, an easy computation shows that

$$d(y_1) + d(x) \leq 2|R| + 2m + |P| + |Q'| + |H'| + 1 \leq 2n - 2.$$

This together with $d(y_1) + d(y) \leq 2n - 2$ (by (9)) contradicts Lemma 3.7, since y_1, x and y_1, y are two distinct pairs of nonadjacent vertices in Y.

Assume second that any (y,x)-path in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ has a common internal vertex with (x,y)-path H. Then, in particular, the vertices y and x are nonadjacent. Let Q again be a shortest (y,x)-path in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$.

Denote $Q' := Q[y_Q^+, x_Q^-]$ and $R := B \setminus (P \cup H' \cup Q' \cup \{x\})$. Observe that $|H'| \geq 1$ and $|Q'| \geq 1$ since y and x are nonadjacent.

Now we want to compute the sum $d^+(x) + d^-(y)$. It is easy to see that

$$d^+(x,R) + d^-(y,R) \leq |R|, \tag{20}$$

since in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ any (y,x)-path has a common internal vertex with the minimal path H and the length of H is more than or equal to two. Observe that (y,x)-path Q cannot be inserted into $C[x_{a+1}, x_1]$ (for otherwise in D there is a cycle which contains more Y-vertices than the cycle C). Notice that $xx_{a+1} \notin A(D)$ (for otherwise, if $xx_{a+1} \in A(D)$ then the paths $P[z_1, y]$, Q and P_1 (Claim 1) and the arc xx_{a+1} form a cycle which has more Y-lengths than the cycle C). Therefore, by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$d^+(x, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) + d^-(y, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) \leq m - a + d^-(y, \{x_1\}) + d^+(x, \{x_{a+1}\}) \leq m - a + 1. \tag{21}$$

From minimality of $C[x_2, x_a]$ and the existence of the path Q it follows that

$$d^-(y, C[x_2, x_a]) = d^+(x, C[x_2, x_a]) = 0. \tag{22}$$

By minimality of P we have

$$d^-(y,P) = d^-(y,E) + d^-(y,L) \leq |L| + 1.$$

On the other hand

$$d^+(x,P) = d^+(x,E) + d^+(x,L) \leq |E|,$$

since if $xz_j \in A(D)$ for some $j \in [k+1,t]$, then using the paths Q, P_1 and the subpaths of the path P we can obtain a cycle which contains more Y-vertices than C. The last two inequalities imply that

$$d^-(y,P) + d^+(x,P) \leq |L| + |E| + 1 = |P|. \tag{23}$$

Finally we consider the paths H' and Q'. Now we will compute $d^+(x, H' \cup Q')$ and $d^-(y, H' \cup Q')$. Denote $Q'' := Q' \setminus H'$. From the minimality of the path H it follows that $d^+(x,H') = d^-(y,H') = 1$. This together with the above relations (20)-(23) implies that

$$d^+(x) + d^-(y) = d^+(x,R) + d^-(y,R) + d^+(x, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) + d^-(y, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) + d^+(x, C[x_2, x_a]) +$$

$$d^-(y, C[x_2, x_a]) + d^+(x,P) + d^-(y,P) + d^+(x,H') + d^-(y,H') + d^+(x,Q'') + d^-(y,Q'') \leq$$

$$|R| + m - a + 1 + |P| + 2 + d^+(x,Q'') + d^-(y,Q'') =$$

$$|R| + |C| + |P| + 3 + d^+(x,Q'') + d^-(y,Q'') - a. \tag{24}$$

Assume that $|H'| \geq 2$, then $d^+(x,Q'') + d^-(y,Q'') \leq |Q''|$, since otherwise in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ will be an (x,y)-path shorter than H. The last inequality together with (24) gives

$$d^+(x) + d^-(y) \leq |R| + |C| + |P| + 3 + |Q''| - a + |H'| - |H'| =$$

$$n + 2 - a - |H'| \leq n - 2,$$

since $a \geq 2$ and $|H'| \geq 2$. This together with (9) implies that

$$d(y) + d(y_1) + d^-(y) + d^+(x) \leq 3n - 4,$$

which contradicts condition A_0 since x, y, y_1 are Y-vertices, y, y_1 are nonadjacent and $xy \notin A(D)$.

Now assume that $|H'| = 1$, i.e., $|H| = 3$, and let $H = xzy$. Now we will compute the sum $d^+(y) + d^-(x)$. It is easy to see that

$$d^+(y,R) + d^-(x,R) \leq |R|, \tag{25}$$

for otherwise in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ there is a (y,x)-path which is internally disjoint from H. From the minimality of $C[x_2, x_a]$ (i.e., of the gap a) it follows that

$$d^+(y, C[x_2, x_a]) = d^-(x, C[x_2, x_a]) = 0. \tag{26}$$

Since the path $H = xzy$ cannot be inserted into $C[x_{a+1}, x_1]$ and $x_1 x \notin A(D)$, using Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$d^-(x, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) + d^+(y, C[x_{a+1}, x_1]) \leq m - a + d^-(x, \{x_1\}) + d^+(y, \{x_{a+1}\}) \leq m - a + 1. \tag{27}$$

By minimality of P, we have $d^+(y,P) \leq |E| + 1$. It is not difficult to see that $d^-(x,P) = d^-(x,L) \leq |L|$ (for otherwise using the paths P_1, H and the subpaths of the path P we can form a cycle which contains more Y-vertices than C). The last two inequalities give

$$d^+(y,P) + d^-(x,P) \leq |E| + |L| + 1 = |P|. \tag{28}$$

Note that $H' \cap Q' = \{z\}$. On the other hand, since Q is minimal, we have $d^+(y,Q') = d^-(x,Q') = 1$. Now using this and the above relations (25)-(28), an easy computation shows that

$$d^+(y) + d^-(x) \leq |R| + |P| + m - a + 1 + 2 = |R| + |P| + m + 3 + |Q'| - |Q'| - a =$$

$$n + 2 - a - |Q'| \leq n - 1,$$

since $a \geq 2$ and $|Q'| \geq 1$. This together with (9) contradicts condition A_0, since x, y, y_1 are Y-vertices, y, y_1 are nonadjacent and $yx \notin A(D)$.

Case 2. In $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ there is no path between the vertices x and y. In particular, x and y are nonadjacent.

Let now $R := B \setminus (P \cup \{x\})$. Then it is easy to see that

$$d(x, R) + d(y, R) \leq 2|R|,$$

since in $\langle B \setminus (P \setminus \{y\})\rangle$ there is no path between x and y. Using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we obtain that

$$d(x, P) \leq |P| + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d(x, C) \leq m,$$

since the vertex x cannot be inserted neither into P nor C. The last three inequalities together with (4) and (5) imply that

$$d(y) + d(x) \leq 2|R| + |P| + m + m - a + |P| + 2 \leq 2n - a \leq 2n - 2.$$

This together with (9) contradicts Lemma 3.7, since $\{x, y\}$ and $\{y, y_1\}$ are two distinct pairs of nonadjacent vertices of Y. The discussion of Case 2 is completed and with it the proof of the theorem is also completed. □

5 Concluding remarks

Observe that the example of the digraph in Remark 1 is not 2-strongly connected and $|Y| = 3$. May be true the following conjecture.

Conjecture . *Let D be a digraph of order $n \geq 4$ and let Y be a nonempty subset of vertices of D which satisfies condition A_0. Then D has a cycle that contains all the vertices of Y if either (i) or (ii) or (iii) below is satisfied:*

(i) D is 2-strongly connected.

(ii) D is Y-strongly connected and $|Y| \geq 4$.

(iii) for any ordered pair of distinct vertices x, y of Y there are two internally disjoint paths from x to y in D.

C. Thomassen [18] (for $n = 2k + 1$) and the author [7] (for $n = 2k$) proved the following theorem below. Before stating it we need to introduce some additional notations.
Let $m \geq 2$ be any integer.

Let $H(m, m)$ denote the set of digraphs D of order $2m$ with vertex set $A \cup B$ such that $\langle A\rangle = \langle B\rangle = K_m^*$, there is no arc from B to A, $d^+(x, B) \geq 1$ and $d^-(y, A) \geq 1$ for every vertices $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Let $H(m, m - 1, 1)$ denote the set of digraphs D of order $2m$ with vertex set $A \cup B \cup \{a\}$ such that $|A| = |B| + 1 = m$, $\langle B\rangle \subseteq K_m^*$ (i.e., $\langle B\rangle$ is an arbitrary digraph of order $m - 1$) the subdigraph $\langle A\rangle$ has no arc, D contains all possible arcs betveen A and B, and either $N^-(a) = B$ and $A \subseteq N^+(a)$, or $N^+(a) = B$ and $A \subseteq N^-(a)$.

Let $H(2m)$ denote a digraph of order $2m$ with vertex set $A \cup B \cup \{x, y\}$ such that $\langle A \cup \{x\}\rangle = \langle B \cup \{y\}\rangle = K_m^*$, there is no arc between A and B, $H(2m)$ also contains all the arcs of the form ya, bx,

for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and the arc xy or both arcs xy and yx.

Denote by $H = H(m,m) \cup H(m,m-1,1) \cup \{H(2m)\}$. It is not difficult to show that if a digraph D is in H, then its converse digraph also is in H and D is not hamiltonian.

Let D_6 be a digraph with vertex set $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_5, x\}$ and arc set

$$\{x_i x_{i+1} / 1 \le i \le 4\} \cup \{x x_i / 1 \le i \le 3\} \cup \{x_1x_5, x_2x_5, x_5x_1, x_5x_4, x_3x_2, x_3x, x_4x_1, x_4x\}.$$

By D_6' we denote a digraph obtained from D_6 by adding the arc x_2x_4.

Note that the digraphs D_6 and D_6' both are 2-strongly connected and are not Hamiltonian. Each of D_6 and D_6' contains a cycle of length 5. Moreover, $d(y) = 5$ for any vertex in D_6, i.e., D_6 is 5-regular.

Theorem H (*Thomassen [18], Darbinyan [7]*). *Let D be a digraph of order $n \ge 5$ with minimum degree at least $n-1$ and with minimum semi-degree at least $n/2-1$. Then D is Hamiltonian unless*

(i) D is isomorphic to D_5 or D_7 or

$D = [(K_m \cup K_m) + K_1]^*$ or $K^*_{m,m+1} \subseteq D \subseteq [K_m + \overline{K}_{m+1}]^*$, if $n = 2m+1$;

(ii) $D \in H \cup \{D_6, D_6', \overleftarrow{D_6}, \overleftarrow{D_6'}\}$, if $n = 2m$. (The digraphs D_5 and D_7 are well known and for their definitions, see, for example [18]). □

A question was put in [9]:

Let D be a digraph of order $n \ge 5$ and let $T \ne \emptyset$ be a subset of $V(D)$. Assume that D is strongly connected (or D is T-strongly connected) and every vertex of T has degree at least $n-1$ and has outdegree and indegree at least $n/2-1$. Whether D has a cycle that contains all the vertices of T.

For $n = 2m+1$ in [9] it was proved:

If D is strongly connected and contains a cycle of length $n-1$, then D has a cycle containing all the vertices of T unless some extremal cases.

References

[1] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin, "Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications", Springer, London, 2000.

[2] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin, H. Li, "Sufficient conditions for a digraph to be Hamiltonian", *J. Graph Theory* **22**(2) (1996) 181-187.

[3] J. Bang-Jensen, Y. Guo, A. Yeo, "A new sufficient condition for a digraph to be Hamiltonian", *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **95** (1999) 77-87.

[4] K.A. Berman, X.Liu, "Cycles through large degree vertices in digraphs: A generalization of Meyniel's theorem", *J. Combinatorial Theory* **B74** (1998) 20-27.

[5] B. Bollobas, G. Brightwell, "Cycles through specified vertices", *Combinatorica* **13**(2) (1993) 117-155.

[6] J.A. Bondy, C. Thomassen, "A short proof of Meyniel's theorem", *Discrete Mathematics* **19** (1977) 85-92.

[7] S.Kh. Darbinyan, "A sufficient condition for the Hamiltonian property of digraphs with large semidegrees", *Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Dokl.* **82**(1) (1986) 6-8 (see also arXiv: 1111.1843v1 [math.CO] 8 Nov 2011).

[8] Kh. Darbinyan, "Hamiltonian and strongly Hamilton-connected digraphs", *Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Dokl.* **91**(1) (1990) 3-6 (in Russian).

[9] S.Kh. Darbinyan, I.A. Karapetyan, "On cycles through vertices of large semidegree in digraphs", *Mathematical Problems of Computer Science*, **39** (2013) 106-118.

[10] R. Häggkvist, C. Thomassen, "On pancyclic digraphs", *J. Combinatorial Theory* **20** (1976) 20-40.

[11] A. Ghouila-Houri, "Une condition suffisante d'existence d'un circuit hamiltonien", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **A-B 251** (1960) 495-497.

[12] H. Li, E. Flandrin, J. Shu, "A sufficient condition for cyclability in directed graphs", *Discrete Mathematics* **307** (2007) 1291-1297.

[13] Y. Manoussakis, "Directed Hamiltonian graphs", *J. Graph Theory* **16**(1) (1992) 51-59.

[14] M. Meyniel, "Une condition suffisante d'existence d'un circuit Hamiltonien dans un graphe oriente", *J. Combinatorial Theory* **B14** (1973) 137-147.

[15] B. Ning, Notes on a conjecture of Manoussakis concerning Hamilton cycles in digraphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **115** (2015) 221-224.

[16] K. Ota, "Cycles through prescribed vertices with large degree sum", *Discrete Mathematics* **145** (1995) 201-210.

[17] R. Shi, "2-Neighborhoods and Hamiltonian conditions", *J.Graph Theory* **16** (1992) 267-271.

[18] C. Thomassen, "Long cycles in digraphs", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **3**(42) (1981) 231-251.

[19] H.J. Veldman, "Cycles containing many vertices of large degree", *Discrete Mathematics* **101** (1992) 319-325 .

[20] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Pretice-Hall, New Delhi, 2001.

[21] D.R. Woodall, "Sufficient conditions for circuits in graphs", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1972) 739-755.